

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/636,500	08/11/2000	Akira Ando	P/1071-1107	9255	
75	90 10/02/2002				
Ostrolenk Faber Gerb & Soffen LLP			EXAMINER		
1180 Avenue of New York, NY			MEDLEY, PETER M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/02/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4 . 47		
Advisory Action	09/636,500	ANDO ET AL	DE DE		
Mariony Monon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter M Medley	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CONvoid abandonment of this application of the contract	NDITION FOR ALLO ation. A proper reply the places the applica	OWANCE. y to a tion in		
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attentions.	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2.☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the		
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.		
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly		
7. To purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>I = II</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. ☐ Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	mr	\searrow		
	8	MESTOR RAM UPSEVISORY PATENT			
	4.0	TECHNOLOGY CENT			



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections have not been overcome. The Examiner's position is that one of ordinary skill in the art would have created a device whose dimensions would have satisfied the claim limitations.